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| **EXAMINATIONS INTERNAL APPEALS POLICY** | |
| **Approved by: SGS Leadership Team** | **Date: September 2023** |
| **Review date: September 2023**  This policy is reviewed and updated annually on the publication of updated JCQ regulations and guidance on access arrangements and instructions for conducting exams | **Responsibility: Head of Centre: Marie George** |
| 1. **Introduction and Aims** | |
| Stockland Green School is committed to ensuring that exams are managed and administered effectively.  The aim of this policy is to ensure:   * The planning and management of exams is conducted in the best interest of candidates * Our system of exams administration is efficient and clear, and staff and pupils understand what is required and expected of them * We comply with requirements and guidance set out by the Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) and awarding bodies | |
| 1. **Purpose of this policy** | |
| This procedure confirms Stockland Green School’s compliance with JCQ’s **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3x)that the centre will:   * have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, post-result services and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration.   This procedure covers appeals relating to:   * Internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) * Centre decisions not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal. * Centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration. * Centre decisions relating to other administrative issues   This policy is written in conjunction with the ‘Complaints and Appeals’ policy and the wider ATLP policies. | |
| 1. **Appeals against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)** | |
| Certain GCSE and other qualifications contain components of non-examination assessment (or units of coursework) which are internally assessed (marked) by Stockland Green School’s and internally standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted to the exam board by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.  The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.  The qualifications delivered at Stockland Green School containing components of non-examination assessment/units of coursework are:   * OCR Cambridge Nationals * WJEC EDUCAS Level ½ vocational courses * Some GCSE subjects with practical elements – for example Drama, Art, Art Textiles   This procedure confirms Stockland Green School’s compliance with JCQ’s General Regulations for Approved Centres 2023 - 24 (section 5.7) that the centre will:   * have in place and be available for inspection purposes, a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates * before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre’s marking   **Deadlines for the submission of marks by subject teachers**   |  |  | | --- | --- | | Details | Exam series | | **Final date for submitting centre assessed marks:**  **OCR:**   * 15 May – Cambridge Nationals visiting moderation (Creative iMedia, Health and Social Care, Sport Science, Sport Studies) * 15 May – All other qualifications   **AQA:**   * 7 May - for most GCSE subjects   **Pearson/Edexcel:**   * 15 May – for GCSE, and Level 1 & 2 Projects   **WJEC:**   * 5 May – Vocational Courses   **These dates may vary and it is the teacher’s responsibility to check and ensure key deadlines are met.** | Summer-23 |   Stockland Green School’s is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates’ work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body’s specification and subject-specific associated documents.  Stockland Green School’s ensures that all centre staff follow a robust *Non-examination assessment policy* (for the management of GCSE non-examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments for GCSE, Project and vocational qualifications **Note** - the JCQ [Notice to Centres – Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks](https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments/) (FAQ 1) confirms that the JCQ publication **General Regulations for Approved Centres** *states that* centres***must*** *have a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions in all**qualifications. Details of this procedure* ***must*** *be communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates.*], including the marking and quality assurance/internal standardisation processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.  Candidates’ work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. Stockland Green School’s is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates’ work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.  It is the duty of Heads of Faculty to ensure that all internal assessment is ready for dispatch at the correct time. The exams officer will assist by keeping a record of each dispatch, including the recipient details and the date and time sent. Marks for internally assessed work must be keyed on by subject teachers via the Director of Subject within the required timescales.  On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of his/her work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the mark scheme to his/her marking, then he/she may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre’s marking.  **Procedure for appealing internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)**  The Head of Centre at Stockland Green School will:   1. ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre’s marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body 2. inform candidates that they will need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted 3. having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate (or for some marked assessment materials, such as art work and recordings, inform the candidate that these will be shared under supervised conditions) within 7 calendar days 4. inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material unless supervised 5. provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision, informing candidates that if their decision is to request a review they will need to explain what they believe the issue to be 6. provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre’s marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. **Requests must be made in writing within 7 calendar days of receiving copies of the requested materials by completing the internal appeals form** 7. allow 7 calendar days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body’s deadline 8. ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate and has no personal interest in the review 9. instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate’s mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre 10. inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre’s marking 11. Ensure the outcome of the review of the centre’s marking is made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body 12. Ensure a written record of the review is kept and made available to the awarding body upon request 13. Ensure the awarding body is informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review | |
| 1. **Appeals relating to centre’s decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal** | |
| This procedure confirms Stockland Green School’s compliance with JCQ’s General Regulations for Approved Centres 2023-2024 (section 5.13)that the centre will:   * *have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers, a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal*   Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services, internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided by the exams officer on results day.  Candidates are also informed of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results. Candidates are also informed of the periods during which senior members of centre staff will be available/accessible immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking. Candidates are made aware/informed by letters home and via the school’s website.  If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, post-results services may be considered, however any fees may be payable by the parent/ carer if the school does not support the review.  The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below.  **Reviews of Results** (RoRs):   * Service 1 (Clerical re-check) - This is the only service that can be requested for objective tests (multiple choice tests) * Service 2 (Review of marking) * Priority Service 2 (Review of marking) - This service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A-level specifications (an individual awarding body may also offer this priority service for other qualifications) * Service 3 (Review of moderation) - This service is not available to an individual candidate   **Access to Scripts** (ATS):   * Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking * Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning   **Centre actions in response to a concern about a result**  Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will:   * look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.   For **written** components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:   1. Where a place a university or college is at risk, consider supporting a request for a Priority Service 2 review of marking 2. In all other instances, consider accessing the script by:    1. (where the service is made available by the awarding body) requesting a priority copy of the candidate’s script to support a review of marking by the awarding body deadline or    2. (where the option is made available by the awarding body) viewing the candidate’s marked script online to consider if requesting a review of marking is appropriate 3. Collect informed written consent/permission from the candidate to access his/her script 4. On access to the script, consider if it is felt that the agreed mark scheme has been applied correctly in the original marking and if the centre considers there are any errors in the marking 5. Support a request for the appropriate RoR service (clerical re-check or review of marking) if any error is identified] 6. Collect informed written consent from the candidate to request the RoR service before the request is submitted 7. Where relevant, advise an affected candidate to inform any third party (such as a college) that a review of marking has been submitted to an awarding body]   **Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body**.  Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be **lower** than, **higher** than, or **the same** as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results. Consent forms will be available on results day or subsequently from the Exam Office.  For any **moderated** components that contributed to the final result, the centre will:   * Confirm that a review of moderation cannot be undertaken on the work of an individual candidate or the work of candidates not in the original sample submitted for moderation * Consult the moderator’s report/feedback to identify any issues raised * Determine if the centre’s internally assessed marks have been accepted without change by the awarding body – if this is the case, a RoR service 3 (Review of moderation) will not be available * Determine if there are any grounds to submit a request for a review of moderation for the work of candidates in the original sample]   Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision **not** to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:   * For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate he/she may request the review by providing informed written consent to the exam officer and pay the required fee for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre * Under no circumstances will the school pay fees for reviews that it does not support * Under no circumstances will requests be processed until payment is made   **Centre actions in the event of a disagreement (dispute)**  If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre’s decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the internal appeals form at least 5 calendar days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.  The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.  **Appeals**  Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications **Post-Results Services** and **JCQ Appeals Booklet** (A guide to the awarding bodies’ appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.  Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre’s decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the **JCQ Appeals Booklet**. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.  To submit an appeal:   * The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within 7 calendar days of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. * Subject to the head of centre’s decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required **30 calendar days** of receiving the outcome of the review of results process. * Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). * If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre. | |
| 1. **Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration** | |
| This procedure confirms Stockland Green School’s compliance with JCQ’s **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (section 5.3x)that the centre will:   * have in place and available for inspection a written internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding the centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration   Stockland Green School will:   * comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ publications **Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments**and **A guide to the special consideration process** * ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced   **Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments**  In accordance with the regulations, Stockland Green School:   * recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, through the access arrangements process submit applications for reasonable adjustments and make reasonable adjustments to the service the centre provides to disabled candidates. * complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments   Failure to comply with the regulations have the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate’s result(s).  Examples of failure to comply include:   * putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved * failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments) * permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence * charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates   **Special consideration**  Where Stockland Green School can provide signed evidence to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for a candidate who has temporarily experienced illness, injury or some other event outside of their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate’s ability to take an assessment or demonstrate his or her normal level of attainment in an assessment.  Stockland Green School will:   * comply with the requirements as set out in the JCQ publication **A guide to the special consideration process** * ensure that all staff who manage and administer special consideration applications are aware of the requirements   Where Stockland Green School has appropriate evidence signed by a member of the senior leadership team to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for the affected candidate/candidates.  **Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration**  This may include Stockland Green School’s decision:   * not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration.   Where Stockland Green School makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:   * If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate’s parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted * An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 7 working days of the decision being made known to the appellant   To determine the outcome of the appeal, the head of centre will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.  The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 14 calendar days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre  If the appeal is upheld, Stockland Green School will proceed to implement the necessary arrangements and/or submit the necessary application. | |
| 1. **Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative issues** | |
| Circumstances may arise that cause Stockland Green School to make decisions on administrative issues that may affect a candidate’s examinations/assessments.  Where Stockland Green School may make a decision that affects a candidate or candidates:   * If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate’s parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied the regulations or followed due process, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted * An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within 7 working days of the decision being made known to the appellant)].   The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within 14 calendar days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre. | |
| 1. **Monitoring and Review** | |
| The head of centre is responsible for ensuring that this policy is reviewed and updated annually on the publication of updated JCQ regulations and guidance on access arrangements and instructions for conducting exams.  References in this policy to AA and ICE relate to/are directly taken from the [Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments 2023-202](http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance)4 and [Instructions for Conducting Examinations 2023-202](http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/ice---instructions-for-conducting-examinations)4 publications | |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Internal appeals form** | **FOR CENTRE USE ONLY** | |
| Date received |  |
| Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes on the form below | Reference No. |  |

* Appeal against an internal assessment decision (centre assessed marks) and/or request for a review of marking
* Appeal against the centre’s decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
* Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
* Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

| Name of appellant |  | Candidate name  (if different to appellant) |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Awarding body |  | Exam paper code and number |  |
| Subject |  | Exam paper title |  |
| **Please state the grounds for your appeal below**  *(If applicable, tick below)*   * Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision I wish to request a review of the centre’s marking   *If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed* | | | |
| Appellant signature: Date of signature:  Candidate signature: | | | |

**This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure**